PTO/89/25 (08/03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2005. OMB 0651-0031
Palent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Roduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid. OMB-control number.

## Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 19 2005

9544

Serial No. 10/021,016

Application of: Alexandre Drobychev, James Kong, Nirupama Mallavarupu, Ching-Wen Chu

Filed: December 19, 2001

Art Unit: 2192

Examiner: Vo, Ted T.

Attorney Docket No. P5794

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF COMMERCE SOFTWARE

APPLICATIONS

Confirmation No.: 8774

Customer No.:.32658

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

1. Interview Summary In Accordance with 37 CFR 1.133.

on

19 October 2005

to centralized fax number: 571-273-8300

Signature

Julie Lange

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or its certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Client Reference No. 80168.0239

Fax No. 719-448-5922

Client Matter No. 80168.0239 Via Facsimile

> RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

> > 1 9 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No. 10/021,016

Application of: Alexandre Drobychev, James Kong,

Nirupama Mallavarupu, Ching-Wen Chu

Filed: December 19, 2001

**Art Unit: 2192** 

Examiner: Vo, Ted T.

Attorney Docket No. P5794

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF COMMERCE SOFTWARE

**APPLICATIONS** 

Confirmation No.: 8774

Customer No.: 32658

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY IN ACCORDANCE WITH 37 CFR 1.133

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

On September 21, 2005 at approximately 9:00 AM MST an interview was conducted between Michael C. Martensen on behalf of Sun Microsystems, Inc., and Examiner Ted T. Vo of the USPTO via telephone. United States Patent Application No. 10/021,016, which is undergoing examination by Mr. Vo, was discussed. The interview discussed the merits of the Examiner's objection to the declaration under 37 CFR 1.56(a) instead of CFR 1.56. After discussing the nature of the limitations recited in paragraph 37 CFR 1.56(b-e) the objection to the inventor's declaration was deemed harmless. The Examiner agreed to withdraw the objection.

Prior art Kapcyznski was discussed with respect to claims 1 and 21 in light of the recently submitted amendment and RCE. Specifically, the new limitation, "across user request boundaries" was discussed as it applies to Kapcyznski. Examiner Vo agreed to reexamine the cited art to consider if the art does in fact

1

Serial No. 10/021,016 Reply to Office Action of January 4, 2005

disclose the persistence of application data across user boundaries. The interview concluded at approximately 9:50 AM MST.

No fee is believed due for this submittal. However, any fee deficiency associated with this submittal may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-1123.

Respectfully submitted,

180 ctalets , 2005

Michael C. Martensen, No. 46,901

Hogan & Hartson LLP One Tabor Center

1200 17th Street, Suite 1500

Denver, Colorado 80202

(719) 448-5910 Tel

(303) 899-7333 Fax